Checklist for Associate Editors

June 22, 2009

TITLE					
Yes	No				
		Is the title appropriate for the paper and is it sufficiently compelling to encourage scholars to download and potentially cite? If the paper is an application to a particular country, is that acknowledged in the title?			
ABSTRACT					
Yes	No				
		Does the abstract avoid making overly general or oversimplified claims?			
		Does it match the paper, including the revisions?			
		Does the abstract follow international orientation policy, making the claim location-specific, naming the region of study, and making a case for its importance for other countries?			
		RAMEWORK			
Yes	No				
		Does the manuscript engage with existing literature in feminist thought?			
		Does the manuscript avoid heterosexist assumptions and acknowledge heternormative biases in data sets?			
		Does the paper make a case for why it should be of interest to an international audience and interdisciplinary community of scholars concerned with feminist economic issues?			
		IN RELATION TO OTHER LITERATURES			
Yes	No				
		Is the paper situated in the existing literature, so that it doesn't presume an audience of specialists in the specific subfield of the contribution?			
		Does the paper avoid making overly broad and unsupported generalizations over widely varying regions?			
		Does the paper support crucial assumptions with sufficient references? (Assumptions should be acknowledged and supported by literature.)			
		Do authors connect their argument to the existing theoretical framework and literature instead of claiming theirs is a bold new claim?			
		Does the author avoid making inaccurate claims about literature under review or inaccurate comparisons between studies that cannot be compared?			

ADHERENCE TO INTERNATIONAL ORIENTATION POLICY				
Yes	No			
		Does the manuscript comply with the journal policy on international		
		orientation?		
		O Authors assume people from all over the world should be interested		
		in a particular country's economic phenomena without arguing why		
		or framing the issues in the context of broader feminist economic		
		concerns.		
		• Authors treat a phenomenon as if a particular country's experience		
		is universal. O Authors refer to a phenomenon in a particular country without		
		explicitly noting that it is a country-specific phenomenon or that a		
		particular country's version of the phenomenon might not be the		
		same elsewhere.		
		• Authors assume that people all over the world have heard of a law		
		or organization in a country and do not explain the law or		
		organization for an international audience.		
		O Authors provide an overview of the literature that refers solely to		
		contributions from one geographical region.		
ADHE	RENC	E TO STATISTICAL REPORTING POLICY		
Yes	No			
		If statistical techniques are used, is the journal's policy on statistical		
		reporting adhered to? Refer to the article accessible on the FE website:		
		Miller, Jane E. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers. 2008. "Economic		
		Importance and Statistical Significance: Guidelines for Communicating		
		Empirical Research." Feminist Economics 14(2): 117-49.		
		Are there an appropriate number of tables and/or figures?		
		Are tables and figures clearly laid out? Are tables and figures appropriately		
		labeled and discussed clearly in the text?		
ADHE	ERENC	E TO IRB/HUMAN SUBJECTS POLICY		
Yes	No			
		Does the article adhere to the journal policy?		
		• Feminist Economics instituted a policy to protect the human		
		participants from the release of any personal information that		
		renders them easily identifiable.		
		• Though specific laws and practices of representation and ethics vary		
		from country to country, the privacy and safety of participants		
		should be carefully considered regardless of the place of scholarship		
		scholarship.		

• In an effort to aid authors in their project, we ask that all papers dealing with human participants (either in interviews, through the use of ethnographic data, or through participant or non-participant observation) include one of the following two statements at the end of the manuscript:
 O 1. All personal information that would allow the identification of any person or person(s) described in the article has been removed.
 O 2. I confirm that the person(s) identified in this contribution has(ve) given permission for personal information to be published in Feminist Economics.

METH	METHODOLOGY AND DATA				
Yes	No				
		Does the paper clarify the methodology followed and does the methodology make sense?			
		Does the paper avoid making broad generalizations or inaccurate statements about studies and inaccurate claims about what it will do to refute/add to these studies?			
		Does the paper clearly explain the variables used? Variables must be absolutely and clearly defined. Variables cannot be confusing and used interchangeably with other variables. For example, if household are referred to, make up of household must be clear, such as whether non marital or same sex households are included. Or if mother's empowerment is the variable, do not interchange with female empowerment in region, and empowerment of other females in household. Explain how variables interact.			
RESU	LTS				
Yes	No				
		Rather than simply listing results, does the paper also present an analysis, exploration, or a discussion of the implications of these results?			
		Does the paper simply repeat over generalized results throughout the text without development?			
		Does the paper make clear why the results are important or relevant to the journal's audience?			

CONCLUSION			
Yes	No		
		Does the conclusion adequately discuss the findings of the study and their importance? (It should not simply repeat results or make general statements about the need to look at an issue more carefully.)	
WRITING QUALITY			
Yes	No		
		Is the paper tightly written with an appropriate length (especially given journal page constraints)?	
		Is the manuscript clearly written and accessible to a broad audience?	
		Does the paper have unity of argument, so that the reader can easily follow the development of an argument through the paper?	
		Coherence: Is the paper organized in a coherent way, with good transitions within paragraphs and from paragraph to paragraph?	
		Are the arguments clearly stated and well supported? That is, are the sentences clear and easy to follow, or do they need more clarification?	
		Is the paper organized in the most effective way possible, or does it need restructuring and reorganization (and shortening or elaboration in places) to be concise and effective?	